

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,627	08/06/2001	Joel Q. Xue	39199-9517-00	8281
23409	3409 7590 10/09/2003		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP			MACHUGA, JOSEPH S	
	EE, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/922,627	XUE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph S. Machuga	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may  by within the statutory minimum of  will apply and will expire SIX (6) No.  cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-31</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,8,9,11-13,15,18,32-38,40 and 44</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 3-7,10,14,16,17,39,41-43,45 and 46 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> <li>application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

ッ

Application/Control Number: 09/922,627

Art Unit: 3762

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,2, 8, 9,11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Branham et al #5687737.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 12, 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Branham et al #5687737.
- 5. Branham et al would have polygonal areas of equilvant size and shape if applied to a cylindrical contour. Also, since the number of polygonal areas in Branham et al's device is a function of the surface area being mapped the reference would provide for the value of 192 for smaller surfaces. Finally, since two adjacent triangles form a four-sided polygon the particulars of claim 13 are considered provided for since the number of polygons in this device is significantly greater than the number of sensors.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,627

Art Unit: 3762

- 6. Claims 32, 38, 40 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutson #5474078.
- 7. For each analog voltage signal numerous digital values would be created as illustrated in Figure 4. The values are then optimized and displayed.
- 8. Claims 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutson as applied to claim 32 above in further view of Elghazzawi #5819007.
- 9. Elghazzawi teaches that it old and well known to analyze an ECG signal according to such methods as pattern recognition, neural networks, fuzzy logic or Bayesian logic to anticipate possible cardiac problems. Given this teaching it would have been obvious to process the data in Hutson's device according to any of those four methods to help diagnose any cardiac problems.
- 10. Claims 3-7, 10, 14, 16, 17, 39, 41-43, 45 and 46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 19-31 are allowable.
- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,627

Art Unit: 3762

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Machuga whose telephone number is 703-305-6184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Joseph S. Machuga

Examiner Art Unit 3762

> ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Cingel. De Shy

\*\*